UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,449	06/01/2006	Peter Huntemann	291257US0PCT	2170	
	7590 08/28/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	9 MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.	EXAMINER KASHNIKOW, ERIK		
1940 DUKE ST				KASHNIKOW, ERIK	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1794		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/28/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Intonvious Summany	10/581,449	HUNTEMANN E	JNTEMANN ET AL.	
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ERIK KASHNIKOW	1794		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>ERIK KASHNIKOW</u> .	(3) Christoph Rudolph.			
(2) <u>Richard L. Chinn</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 24 August 2009.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Grimm (US 6,387,447)	and Croft (US 5,688,680).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)	J/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative</u> <u>specifically how to present data to show unexpected results</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	es and Examiner discussed the scommensurate in scope with the examiner agopy of the amendments that wid.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	the references about the claims. Treed would render the could render the	er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO	
	/Rena L. Dye/			
	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 1794		

Application No.

Applicant(s)